

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,573	03/31/2004	Georges R. Harik	0026-0074	4332	
44989 HARRITY SN	7590 08/07/2007 YDER, LLP		EXAM	EXAMINER	
11350 Random SUITE 600	•		BELL, CORY C ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
FAIRFAX, VA	. 22030	•			
·			2164		
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		m	\int
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/813,573	HARIK ET AL.	,
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cory C. Bell	2164	*
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	·ss
THE REPLY FILED 31 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follot places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notan Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in once with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more	idavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 CFF	e, which R 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this		in the final rejection, which	neveris later li
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	l .
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	ED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate inally set in the final Office	e extension fee action; or (2) a
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u> The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to d within the time period set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of the arms of	appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (P	TOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment	canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an exp	olanation of
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence is n	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

because:

13. Other: ____.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance

SAM RIMELL PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: All rejections are maintained as the applicants arguments are not persuasive, applicants argues are with regards to limitations not claimed. The invention as claims only requires one relationship to occur and then to use the corresponding distance value, it is also noted that for the semantic distances in applicants sepecification it is a proximity or an augmentation based on the type of semantic structure(para 48).